



Evaluation of Educational Quality and Reliability of Laparoscopic Hydatid Cyst Surgery Videos on YouTube

YouTube'da Yayınlanan Laparoskopik Kist Hidatik Cerrahisi Videolarının Eğitsel Kalitesi ve Güvenilirliğinin Değerlendirilmesi

İD Mehmet Sait BERHUNİ, İD Hüseyin YÖNDER, İD Ali UZUNKÖY

Harran University Faculty of Medicine, Department of General Surgery, Şanlıurfa, Türkiye

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study aims to evaluate the educational quality and reliability of YouTube videos on laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery (LHCS), focusing on various factors such as narration, subtitles, and user engagement metrics.

Methods: A cross-sectional analysis was conducted on 34 YouTube videos related to LHCS. Videos were assessed using Laparoscopic Surgery Video Educational Guideline (LAP-VEGaS), Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA), and Global Quality Score (GQS). Parameters including video duration, presence of spoken commentary, subtitles, number of likes, total views, and average daily views were recorded. Statistical analyses, including descriptive statistics, correlation assessments, and linear regression models, were utilized to evaluate the impact of these factors on the educational quality scores.

Results: Videos with spoken commentary scored significantly higher across LAP-VEGaS, JAMA, and GQS. Subtitled videos showed a borderline significant increase in GQS but not in other metrics. Significant positive correlations were found between LAP-VEGaS scores and JAMA scores, GQS, annual likes, total views, and daily average views. Univariate regression analysis identified video duration and presence of spoken commentary as significant predictors for LAP-VEGaS scores. In multivariate regression, spoken commentary and upload time were significant variables influencing LAP-VEGaS and JAMA scores.

Conclusion: The presence of spoken commentary significantly enhances the educational value of LHCS videos on YouTube. While subtitles provide additional support, they are not as

ÖZ

Amaç: Bu çalışma, laparoskopik kist hidatik cerrahisi (LKHC) ile ilgili YouTube videolarının eğitsel kalitesini ve güvenilirliğini değerlendirmeyi amaçlamakta; anlatım, altyazı ve kullanıcı etkileşim metrikleri gibi çeşitli faktörler üzerine odaklanmaktadır.

Yöntemler: YouTube'da LKHC ile ilgili 34 video üzerinde kesitsel bir analiz gerçekleştirilmiştir. Videolar; Laparoskopik Cerrahi Video Eğitim Rehberi (LAP-VEGaS), Amerikan Tabipler Birliği Dergisi (JAMA) ve Küresel Kalite Skoru (GQS) kullanılarak değerlendirilmiştir. Video süresi, sesli anlatımın varlığı, altyazı kullanımı, beğeni sayısı, toplam izlenme sayısı ve günlük ortalama izlenme sayısı gibi parametreler kaydedilmiştir. Bu faktörlerin eğitsel kalite puanları üzerindeki etkisini değerlendirmek amacıyla tanımlayıcı istatistikler, korelasyon analizleri ve doğrusal regresyon modelleri uygulanmıştır.

Bulgular: Sesli anlatım içeren videolar, LAP-VEGaS, JAMA ve GQS puanlarında anlamlı şekilde daha yüksek skorlar elde etmiştir. Altyazılı videolar, yalnızca GQS puanında sınıra yakın anlamlı bir artış göstermiştir; diğer metriklerde ise anlamlı bir fark saptanmamıştır. LAP-VEGaS puanları ile JAMA puanları, GQS, yıllık beğeni sayısı, toplam izlenme ve günlük ortalama izlenme sayısı arasında anlamlı pozitif korelasyonlar bulunmuştur. Tek değişkenli regresyon analizinde, video süresi ve sesli anlatımın varlığı LAP-VEGaS skorları için anlamlı yordayıcılar olarak belirlenmiştir. Çok değişkenli regresyon analizinde ise sesli anlatım ve videonun yüklenme zamanı, LAP-VEGaS ve JAMA puanlarını etkileyen anlamlı değişkenler olarak öne çıkmıştır.

Sonuç: Sesli anlatımın varlığı, YouTube'daki LKHC videolarının eğitsel değerini önemli ölçüde artırmaktadır. Altyazılar ise ek

Address for Correspondence: Mehmet Sait Berhuni, Asst. Prof. Harran University Faculty of Medicine, Department of General Surgery, Şanlıurfa, Türkiye

E-mail: drmsaitberhuni@hotmail.com

ORCID IDs of the authors: M.S.B.: 0000-0003-4617-069X, H.Y.: 0000-0001-6349-1773, A.U.: 0000-0002-1857-4681

Cite this article as: Berhuni MS, Yönder H, Uzunköy A. Evaluation of educational quality and reliability of laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery videos on YouTube. Bezmialem Science. [Epub Ahead of Print]

Received: 21.06.2025

Accepted: 06.10.2025

Epub: 10.10.2025



©Copyright 2025 by Bezmialem Vakıf University published by Galenos Publishing House.
Licenced by Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

impactful as spoken commentary. Regular updates and professional production are crucial to maintain the relevance and accuracy of these educational resources.

Keywords: Educational videos, hydatid cyst, laparoscopic surgery, medical education, YouTube

destek sağlasa da, sesli anlatım kadar etkili değildir. Bu tür eğitim kaynaklarının güncelliğini ve doğruluğunu koruyabilmesi için düzenli güncellemeler ve profesyonel yapım kalitesi büyük önem taşımaktadır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Eğitsel videolar, kist hidatik, laparoskopik cerrahi, tıp eğitimi, YouTube

Introduction

Hydatid cyst (HC) is a zoonotic disease that spreads throughout our country, particularly in our region. The most prevalent cause is *Echinococcus granulosus*. In humans, 70% accumulate in the liver, 20% in the lungs, and 10% in other organs (1,2).

Although previously treated with laparotomy and total or partial precystectomy, HC surgery, like many other surgical procedures, is now being performed via laparoscopy. One of the most significant drawbacks of laparoscopic procedures for surgeons is the lengthy training period. Because the learning curve in laparoscopic surgery is longer than in open surgery, surgeons are increasingly using alternative training models, particularly for laparoscopic surgery. To accomplish this, they try to learn about the surgical procedure and shorten their learning time by watching surgical videos online (3).

YouTube is a platform that houses a massive video-sharing network. Today, this platform assists many surgeons in their training. However, because the published videos are not subject to supervision, it is unclear whether they are educationally valuable or an adequate educational resource. In particular, the presence of disparate information and applications in other videos about the same surgical procedures published on these platforms makes it difficult to locate the appropriate information and applications. Several scoring systems have been developed to demonstrate the quality and reliability of educational video content.

Educators from 26 international institutions created the Laparoscopic Surgery Video Educational Guideline (LAP-VEGaS) to standardize the quality of online educational videos on laparoscopic procedures (3). The Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA) and Global Quality Score (GQS) scoring systems evaluate video reliability and content. Silberg et al. (4) designed the JAMA scoring system to assess the transparency of video sources and published data. It is used to identify untrustworthy videos of unknown origin. Bernard defines the GQS as a scoring system that categorizes videos based on their content (5).

Although there are several laparoscopic HC surgery (LHCS) videos on YouTube, no research has been conducted to evaluate the quality of these videos in terms of their contribution to surgical education. In this regard, our study is the first of its kind.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the educational quality and reliability of LHCS videos on YouTube using the LAP-VEGaS, the JAMA scoring system, and the GQS system.

Methods

Study Design

We performed research on YouTube without making any changes in the normal search preferences and after selecting the “sort by relevance” option, using the keywords LHC and LHCS on December 15, 2023. Because YouTube is a public platform and no personal information is used, no ethics committee approval is required for the study (6). A total of 45 videos with at least 1,000 views were identified.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria for the obtained videos included videos in which total cyst excision was performed, videos in which the entire procedure was not published, the presence of an accompanying surgical procedure, videos containing LHCS performed outside the liver, and repetitive videos. Only videos featuring English verbal narration or subtitles were included in the study. Furthermore, it was assumed that the educational value of published videos with a duration of less than 4 min would be insufficient, and videos with fewer than 1,000 views were excluded from the study because they were not popular among surgeons. The study included 34 videos in total, with the remaining cases being published that were liver-related and underwent laparoscopic surgery. All videos included in the study were liver-related LHCS predominantly demonstrating laparoscopic (partial) cystectomy/ pericystectomy techniques. Of these, 8 were uploaded by academic sources (university-affiliated channels or conference presentations), while 26 were shared via individual physician accounts.

Data Collection and Assessment of Quality and Reliability of Videos

The number of likes, dislikes, verbal or subtitled narration, video duration, time since the video upload date, the daily number of views, and the total number of views were recorded. Videos were evaluated using the LAP-VEGaS, as well as JAMA and GQS scores. LAP-VEGaS was created by educators from 26 international institutions as a video evaluation tool to standardize the quality of online educational videos about laparoscopic procedures (3). It enables video evaluation using nine parameters (Table 1). Each parameter is awarded 0 points if it is not presented in the video, 1 point if it is partially presented, and 2 points if it is fully presented. The total score ranges from 0 to 18. Videos with scores of 0 to 6 are of poor educational quality, those with scores of 7 to 12 are of medium quality, and those with scores of 12 or higher are of good quality. Silberg et al. (4) defined the JAMA scoring system to assess the video source's

Table 1. Laparoscopic surgery video educational guideline
1. Author and institution information
2. Formal case presentation
3. Patient position and access
4. Step-by-step procedure walkthrough
5. Intraoperative findings demonstrated
6. Operating time and other important outcomes
7. Additional graphic aids
8. Audio or written commentary in English
9. Good image quality and video speed

transparency and publication information. It is used to detect untrustworthy videos with unknown origins. It has four criteria, each worth 1 point (Table 2). According to the scoring system, videos with 1 point are considered inadequate, videos with 2 to 3 points are considered partially adequate, and videos with 4 points are considered entirely adequate. Bernard defines GQS as a scoring system that defines videos based on their content (5). This scoring system assigns video scores ranging from 1 to 5 (Table 2). Videos were considered low quality (1 or 2), medium quality (3), or high-quality (4 or 5).

Before the videos were evaluated, three general surgeons (M.S.B., H.Y., H.E.) with experience in LHCS in our clinic discussed the evaluation criteria for LAP-VEGaS, JAMA, and GQS scores and developed a common standard. Then, two general surgeons scored the videos according to the guide, unaware of each other. In the videos, if there was a difference in scoring, a third surgeon's opinion was sought.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were carried out with the Jamovi software package (version 2.3.28, The Jamovi project, 2023) and the Jeffreys's Amazing Statistics Program software package (version 0.18.3, 2024). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the study's results. Results for continuous numerical variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation or median, minimum, and maximum based on distribution. Categorical variables were

summarized using numbers and percentages. The normality of numerical variables was assessed using appropriate tests and visual tools, taking into account the sample size and data characteristics. When comparing small samples (n<50), the Shapiro-Wilk test was preferred. In addition, visual tools such as histograms and quantile-quantile plots were used to assess the assumption of normality. To compare differences in categorical variables across groups, the Pearson chi-square test was used for 2×2 tables with expected cell counts of 5 or more, as larger sample sizes provide more accurate results. For 2×2 tables with expected cell counts of less than 5, the Fisher's exact test was preferred due to its higher precision with small sample sizes. In R×C tables with expected cell counts less than 5, the Fisher-Freeman-Halton test was used because it is appropriate for small samples. When numerical variables did not have a normal distribution and were compared between two independent groups, the Mann-Whitney U test was preferred. Spearman's ρ correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between numerical variables that did not follow a normal distribution. In this study, univariate and multivariate linear regression analyses were used to identify factors that predict LAP-VEGaS score, JAMA Score, and GQS in LHCS videos. In univariate analyses, the impact of independent variables such as annual likes, video duration, average daily views, time since upload, presence of spoken commentary, and presence of subtitles on LAP-VEGaS score, JAMA Score, and GQS was assessed separately. β coefficients, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values were computed for each independent variable. In multivariate linear regression analyses, the combined effects of these variables were assessed while controlling for the impact of other factors, with β coefficients, 95% confidence intervals, and p-values provided for each variable. A p-value of <0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results

This study included 34 videos about LHCS. The median time since the videos' initial publication was 7.2 years. The median number of likes was 18.5, and the average number of likes per year was 2.4. The videos had a median duration of 8.4 min. The median total number of views was 2,400, with a median daily

Table 2. JAMA and Global Quality Scoring system	
Journal of the American Medical Association Score	
Authorship	Authors and contributors, their affiliations, and relevant credentials should be provided
Attribution	References and sources for all content should be listed clearly, and all relevant copyright information should be noted
Disclosure	Website "ownership" should be prominently and fully disclosed, as should any sponsorship, advertising, underwriting, commercial funding arrangements or support, or potential conflicts of interest
Currency	Dates when content was posted and updated should be indicated
Global Quality Score	
1)	Poor quality, very unlikely to be of any use to patients
2)	Poor quality but some information present, of very limited use to patients
3)	Suboptimal flow, some information covered but important topics missing, somewhat useful to patients
4)	Good quality and flow, most important topics covered, useful to patients
5)	Excellent quality and flow, highly useful to patients
JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association	

view count of 1. The median LAP-VEGaS score was 5.5, the JAMA score was 2, and the GQS was 3 (Table 3).

Videos with narration received significantly more likes ($p=0.045$), longer video durations ($p=0.017$), higher average daily views ($p=0.042$), higher LAP-VEGaS scores ($p<0.001$), higher JAMA scores ($p<0.001$), and higher GQS ($p<0.001$). Videos with spoken narration were significantly more likely to score 4 points in JAMA and 5 points in GQS (Table 3).

There was no significant difference between videos with and without narration in terms of total time on air, liking status, annual likes, total number of views, and subtitles presence ($p>0.05$). The GQS was marginally higher in subtitled videos ($p=0.054$). The LAP-VEGaS score was also higher in subtitled videos, although the difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.076$). Other variables such as the total time the video was on air, like status, number of likes, annual average of likes, video duration, total number of views, daily average number of views, JAMA score, JAMA score distribution, and presence of voiceover showed no significant difference between the groups ($p>0.05$, Table 4).

When evaluating videos on LHCS, the LAP-VEGaS score was correlated with the JAMA score ($r=0.737$, $p<0.001$), GQS ($r=0.896$, $p<0.001$), average annual rating ($r=0.560$, $p<0.001$), total number of views ($r=0.423$, $p=0.013$), and average daily number of views. The JAMA score and GQS ($r=0.802$, $p<0.001$), annual average rating ($r=0.568$, $p<0.001$), total number of views ($r=0.533$, $p=0.001$), and daily average number of views ($r=0.539$, $p<0.001$) showed significant and positive correlations. GQS also showed significant positive correlations with annual average number of likes ($r=0.523$, $p=0.002$), total number of views ($r=0.391$, $p=0.022$), and daily average number of views ($r=0.500$, $p=0.003$). However, there was a weak to moderate negative correlation found between GQS scores and the total time the video was on air ($r=-0.343$, $p=0.047$). A positive correlation was found between the annual average number of likes, the daily average number of views, and the total number of views ($r=0.803$, $p<0.001$ and $r=0.514$, $p=0.003$); however, a strong negative correlation was found with the total time the video was on air ($r=-0.710$, $p<0.001$). There was a strong and positive correlation between total views and average daily views ($r=0.844$, $p<0.001$) and a moderate negative correlation between

Table 3. Performance comparison of content with and without voiceover in social media videos on laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery: Likes, views and quality analysis

	Overall (n=34)	Spoken commentary		p-value
		Absent (n=26)	Present (n=8)	
Time since upload (years) [§]	7.2 (2.9 - 14.2)	7.7 (3.6 - 12.0)	5.9 (2.9 - 14.2)	0.591*
Like status, yes [†]	32 (94.1)	24 (92.3)	8 (100.0)	0.999**
Number of likes [§]	18.5 (1.0 - 724.0)	13.5 (1.0 - 724.0)	44.0 (12.0 - 193.0)	0.045*
Annual likes (average per year) [§]	2.4 (0.1 - 96.1)	1.6 (0.1 - 96.1)	13.1 (1.0 - 38.9)	0.078*
Number of dislikes [§]	0.0 (0.0 - 0.0)	0.0 (0.0 - 0.0)	0.0 (0.0 - 0.0)	N/A
Video duration (minutes) [§]	8.4 (4.0 - 43.5)	7.4 (4.0 - 29.3)	14.8 (7.0 - 43.5)	0.017*
Total view count [§]	2.4 (1.0 - 140.1)	1.9 (1.0 - 140.1)	6.5 (1.3 - 23.2)	0.065*
Average daily views [§]	1.0 (0.2 - 50.8)	0.9 (0.2 - 50.8)	3.9 (0.9 - 6.6)	0.042*
LAP-VEGaS score [§]	5.5 (0.0 - 16.0)	4.0 (0.0 - 10.0)	13.0 (7.0 - 16.0)	<0.001*
JAMA score [§]	2.0 (1.0 - 4.0)	2.0 (1.0 - 3.0)	4.0 (3.0 - 4.0)	<0.001*
JAMA score[†]				
1	8 (23.5)	8 (30.8)	0 (0.0)	<0.001**
2	13 (38.2)	13 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	
3	7 (20.6)	5 (19.2)	2 (25.0)	
4	6 (17.6)	0 (0.0)	6 (75.0)	
GQS [§]	3.0 (1.0 - 5.0)	3.0 (1.0 - 5.0)	5.0 (4.0 - 5.0)	<0.001*
GQS[†]				
1	3 (8.8)	3 (11.5)	0 (0.0)	<0.001**
2	3 (8.8)	3 (11.5)	0 (0.0)	
3	12 (35.3)	12 (46.2)	0 (0.0)	
4	9 (26.5)	7 (26.9)	2 (25.0)	
5	7 (20.6)	1 (3.8)	6 (75.0)	
Subtitles, present [†]	7 (20.6)	5 (19.2)	2 (25.0)	0.999**

LAP-VEGaS: Laparoscopic Surgery Video Educational Guideline, JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, GQS: Global Quality Score, N/A: Not available, [†]: n (%), [§]: Median (minimum-maximum), *: Mann-Whitney U test, **: Pearson chi-square, Fisher's exact, Fisher-Freeman-Halton test
p bold statistically significant

Table 4. Comparison of video metrics based on the presence of subtitles in videos about laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery

	Subtitles		p-value
	Absent (n=27)	Present (n=7)	
Time since upload (years) [§]	7.8 (2.9 - 14.2)	5.0 (3.6 - 12.4)	0.335 [*]
Like status, yes [‡]	26 (96.3)	6 (85.7)	0.374 ^{**}
Number of likes [§]	18.5 (1.0 - 724.0)	26.5 (12.0 - 76.0)	0.439 [*]
Annual likes (average per year) [§]	2.0 (0.1 - 96.1)	5.1 (1.0 - 19.2)	0.408 [*]
Number of dislikes [§]	0.0 (0.0 - 0.0)	0.0 (0.0 - 0.0)	N/A
Video duration (minutes) [§]	9.1 (4.5 - 43.5)	7.4 (4.0 - 12.1)	0.403 [*]
Total view count [§]	2.2 (1.0 - 140.1)	4.4 (1.4 - 23.2)	0.297 [*]
Average daily views [§]	0.9 (0.2 - 50.8)	2.7 (0.7 - 5.7)	0.249 [*]
LAP-VEGaS score [§]	5.0 (0.0 - 16.0)	9.0 (3.0 - 15.0)	0.076 [*]
JAMA score [§]	2.0 (1.0 - 4.0)	3.0 (2.0 - 4.0)	0.205 [*]
JAMA score[‡]			
1	8 (29.6)	0 (0.0)	0.219 ^{**}
2	10 (37.0)	3 (42.9)	
3	4 (14.8)	3 (42.9)	
4	5 (18.5)	1 (14.3)	
GQS [§]	3.0 (1.0 - 5.0)	4.0 (3.0 - 5.0)	0.054 [*]
GQS[‡]			
1	3 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	0.265 ^{**}
2	3 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	
3	11 (40.7)	1 (14.3)	
4	5 (18.5)	4 (57.1)	
5	5 (18.5)	2 (28.6)	
Spoken commentary, present [‡]	6 (22.2)	2 (28.6)	0.999 ^{**}

‡: n (%), §: Median (minimum-maximum), *: Mann-Whitney U test, **: Pearson chi-square, Fisher's exact, Fisher-Freeman-Halton test

LAP-VEGaS: Laparoscopic Surgery Video Educational Guideline, JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, GQS: Global Quality Score, N/A: Not available

total time on air and average daily views ($r=-0.443$, $p=0.009$). Other pairwise comparisons revealed no significant relationships ($p>0.05$, Figure 1).

The univariate analysis of the linear regression model for predicting the LAP-VEGaS score revealed that video duration ($p=0.034$) and speech commentary ($p<0.001$) were significant variables. A one-unit increase in video duration was correlated with a 0.16-unit increase in LAP-VEGaS scores. Videos with speech commentary, in contrast, showed a significantly higher increase in LAP-VEGaS scores, up 7.59 units. However, the annual average number of likes, daily average number of views, upload time, and presence of subtitles were found to be non-significant ($p>0.05$). Significant variables in the multivariate linear regression analysis included speech commentary ($p<0.001$) and upload time ($p=0.015$). As a result, a one-unit increase in the total time since the video's uploaded resulted in a 0.37 unit decrease in LAP-VEGaS scores, whereas LAP-VEGaS scores increased by 7.5 units in videos with speech commentary. Video duration was not found to be a significant predictor ($p=0.966$, Table 5).




Figure 1. The correlation matrix heatmap illustrates the relationships between various video metrics and educational scores (Spearman's rho correlation coefficient was used)

GQS: Global Quality Score, JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association, LAP-VEGaS: Laparoscopic Surgery Video Educational Guideline

The univariate analysis found that the average annual rating ($p=0.044$) and the presence of speech commentary ($p<0.001$) were significant predictors of the JAMA score. A one-unit increase in the average annual rating resulted in a 0.02-unit increase in the JAMA score, whereas the JAMA score increased by 1.87 units in videos with speech commentary. The effects of video duration, average daily views, upload time, and subtitles presence were non-significant ($p>0.05$). Significant variables in the multivariate linear regression analysis included speech commentary ($p<0.001$) and average annual rating ($p=0.003$). As a result, a one-unit increase in the average annual rating resulted in a 0.01-unit increase in the JAMA score; however, in videos with speech commentary, the JAMA score increased by 1.91 units (Table 6).

Univariate analysis of the linear regression model for predicting GQS revealed that the presence of speech commentary was the

only significant variable ($p<0.001$). GQS increased by 1.75 units in videos with speech commentary. The effects of annual average likes, video duration, daily average number of views, upload time, and subtitles presence were non-significant ($p>0.05$). Speech commentary was a significant variable in the multivariate linear regression analysis ($p<0.001$). GQS increased by 1.68 units in videos with speech commentary. The total duration of the video's broadcast was marginally significant ($p=0.051$). Therefore, every one-unit increase in the total duration of the video's broadcast resulted in a 0.1-point decrease in GQS scores. Conversely, the presence of subtitles was not found to be a significant predictor ($p=0.071$, Table 7).

The links of the videos included in the study are provided in Table 8.

Table 5. Linear regression analysis predicting "Laparoscopic Surgery Video Educational Guideline Score" in laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery videos

	Univariate linear regression		Multivariate linear regression	
	Beta coefficient (CI 95%)	p-value	Beta coefficient (CI 95%)	p-value
Annual likes (average per year)	0.05 (-0.02 - 0.12)	0.167	-	-
Video duration (seconds)	0.16 (0.02 - 0.29)	0.034	0.01 (-0.10 - 0.09)	0.966
Average daily views	0.04 (-0.11 - 0.20)	0.590	-	-
Time since upload (years)	-0.41 (-0.86 - 0.04)	0.080	-0.37 (-0.65 - -0.09)	0.015
Spoken commentary: present vs. absent	7.59 (5.47 - 9.73)	<0.001	7.5 (5.27 - 9.73)	<0.001
Subtitles: present vs. absent	2.68 (-0.75 - 6.11)	0.135	-	-

CI: Confidence interval

Table 6. Linear regression analysis predicting "Journal of the American Medical Association Score" in laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery videos

	Univariate linear regression		Multivariate linear regression	
	Beta coefficient (CI 95%)	p-value	Beta coefficient (CI 95%)	p-value
Annual likes (average per year)	0.02 (0.01 - 0.03)	0.044	0.01 (0.01 - 0.02)	0.003
Video duration (seconds)	0.03 (0.01 - 0.07)	0.102	-	-
Average daily views	0.03 (-0.01 - 0.06)	0.181	-	-
Time since upload (years)	-0.08 (-0.19 - 0.03)	0.151	-	-
Spoken commentary: present vs. absent	1.87 (1.34 - 2.39)	<0.001	1.91 (1.48 - 2.34)	<0.001
Subtitles: present vs. absent	0.49 (-0.37 - 1.35)	0.269		

CI: Confidence interval

Table 7. Linear regression analysis predicting "Global Quality Score" in laparoscopic hydatid cyst surgery videos

	Univariate linear regression		Multivariate linear regression	
	Beta coefficient (CI 95%)	p-value	Beta coefficient (CI 95%)	p-value
Annual likes (average per year)	0.01 (-0.01 - 0.03)	0.316	-	-
Video duration (seconds)	0.02 (-0.02 - 0.06)	0.383	-	-
Average daily views	0.01 (-0.04 - 0.05)	0.753	-	-
Time since upload (years)	-0.13 (-0.25 - 0.01)	0.059	-0.1 (-0.19 - 0.01)	0.051
Spoken commentary: present vs. absent	1.75 (1.02 - 2.48)	<0.001	1.68 (1.02 - 2.34)	<0.001
Subtitles: present vs. absent	0.92 (-0.03 - 1.87)	0.066	0.67 (-0.03 - 1.38)	0.072

CI: Confidence interval

Table 8. The links of the videos included in the study**Youtube hydatid cyst video links**

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=a7tbnKx5Hx4
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lizYNwMKKkl
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hdwd017TyvE
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wTiNBVLZjDE
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s5wAMLV2DFg
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NHg2OE0WLTs
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=B46gsuhSuYk
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nP6DmzNJSSM
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1hMgWUxAgPM
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Do9ADA-jKmM
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_UDlBiggWWs
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eT4kelKlMsk
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vSm17Nc5tJo
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DnxoVCFBUCo
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tufCCUnR0Ek
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k-uhdEGF3a8
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uUKJ_Eu1gjU
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E-rUhuxibXM
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qS2jaC0qBF8
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=D0AgnqSOTGc
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ptJaXTDIRew
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7lbNoni3ay8
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TOLXk3Shgm8
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7KMASFct-Mo
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rOqNdhf530g
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6yfEVFbASB0
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GvEWKyxk9xg
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Yd5dBxbtnxU
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UpeZJle4siE
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=lz-QGd5V-3g
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vg3Xx23CjiY
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=A-9I36LCvb4
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SkjyBeJCe-E
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vts_YEsFeac

Discussion

Surgical education, like our lives, has changed as a result of technological advancements in recent years. The most significant development is that, in addition to traditional face-to-face surgical education, online education has begun to gain traction.

Although many factors have been proposed to explain this shift, the most important factor in surgeons turning to online education appears to be the lengthy learning curve associated with laparoscopic surgery practices. Many surgeons want to accelerate their learning curve by watching online videos. For this reason, online training videos are becoming increasingly popular among surgeons seeking to improve their knowledge and skills, particularly in laparoscopic surgery (7-10).

At this point, publishing videos with accurate, up-to-date, and reliable information on online platforms is critical. Unfortunately, YouTube ranks its videos based on the number of views or comments rather than the quality of the content. This sorting is not appropriate for education. In a study emphasizing the significance of this situation, only one of the most 10 popular laparoscopic cholecystectomy videos was found to be appropriate for surgical training (11). However, studies have shown that information obtained from YouTube may be inaccurate or misleading. A review of this issue revealed that the majority of the videos contained incorrect, out-of-date information, resulting in false teachings (12).

Previous research has found varying levels of educational content on YouTube for various surgical procedures. For example, Wu et al. (13) assessed the educational quality of cholesteatoma surgery videos and identified significant areas for improvement, emphasizing the importance of high-quality educational content on public platforms such as YouTube. Similarly, Unal et al. (14) discovered low educational quality in laparoscopic hysterectomy videos, emphasizing the importance of peer-reviewed educational resources during the coronavirus disease 2019 era. Shapiro et al. (15) noted the low quality of endoscopic sinus surgery videos and advised against relying solely on them for surgical training. Tan et al. (16) found that laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy videos on YouTube lacked educational quality. Our current study also found significant gaps in the educational value of LHCS videos, particularly those that lack spoken commentary or professional production standards. Our study backs up these findings, demonstrating that the presence of spoken commentary significantly improves the educational value of surgical videos. In a study of laparoscopic cholecystectomy videos, the most commonly performed procedure, only 15.1% were found to be educationally sufficient. In the same study, it was found that the video duration, number of views, and likes did not correlate with video quality (17). In contrast, our study found that high-scoring videos were watched and liked significantly more, but there was no correlation with video duration. Chapman et al. (18) found that the LAP-VEGaS score was very low, on average 6, which is consistent with our findings.

Other studies have looked into the relationship between user engagement metrics (such as likes and views) and educational quality. Zhang et al. (19) assessed laparoscopic gastrectomy videos and found varying levels of information completeness and reliability, indicating similar challenges in user engagement and educational quality. In our study, we found significant positive correlations between LAP-VEGaS, JAMA scores, and user

engagement metrics, implying that higher engagement often leads to better educational content.

Videos with spoken commentary consistently performed better on educational metrics. This is supported by findings from studies on other surgical procedures, such as one by Balta et al. (20) who found that using videos in training could improve surgical opinion. The presence of subtitles resulted in a borderline significant increase in GQS but was less effective than spoken commentary. This finding suggests that, while subtitles can help you understand, they are not a substitute for detailed spoken explanations.

Study Limitations

This study has several limitations that must be addressed. To begin, the sample size of 34 videos may not fully represent the range of LHCS videos available on YouTube. The limited sample size may have impacted the generalizability of our findings. Future research with larger sample sizes is required to validate our findings and provide a more complete analysis. Second, the scoring systems (LAP-VEGaS, JAMA, and GQS) are open to subjective interpretation, which may introduce bias. Although these tools are standardized, variations in individual scorers' assessments may influence the results. Implementing a more objective and automated scoring system could help address this issue. Another limitation is relying solely on YouTube for video content. While YouTube is a popular platform, it does not host educational videos available online. Other platforms, such as specialized medical education websites, may host higher-quality videos that were not considered in our analysis. Future research should consider combining videos from various sources to provide a more balanced evaluation. Furthermore, the study did not take into account the diverse backgrounds and levels of expertise among video creators. Videos produced by experienced surgeons or medical institutions may have a higher educational value than those created by less experienced individuals. A stratified analysis of the creators' credentials could yield more nuanced results. Finally, the study's cross-sectional design limits the ability to infer causality. Longitudinal studies that track the impact of video quality on learning outcomes over time would provide stronger evidence of the educational value of these videos. Despite these limitations, this study provides valuable insights into the current state of educational videos on YouTube and identifies areas for improvement.

Conclusion

We conducted this study to assess the educational quality and reliability of LHCS videos available on YouTube. Several key findings emerged from our research. First, videos with spoken commentary significantly improved educational quality, as evidenced by higher scores on the LAP-VEGaS, JAMA, and GQS systems. This indicates that spoken explanations provide useful context and clarity, making complex procedures more understandable to viewers.

Second, while subtitles were beneficial, they had less of an impact than spoken commentary. This demonstrates that, while subtitles are useful, they cannot completely replace the effectiveness of a well-narrated video. The relationship between user engagement metrics, such as likes and views and educational quality, emphasizes the significance of viewer interaction in determining the value of educational content. Higher engagement typically indicates better educational content, implying that users interact more with videos that contain clear and useful information.

Furthermore, the time since a video was uploaded negatively correlated with educational scores, implying that newer videos may be more current and thus more useful for learning purposes. This finding emphasizes the importance of continuous updates and revisions to keep educational content relevant and accurate.

Overall, this study emphasizes the importance of high-quality, professionally produced educational videos in medical education. It emphasizes the importance of spoken commentary in improving learning experiences and the need for regular updates to keep educational materials relevant. Future efforts should be directed toward improving the production quality and peer-review processes of educational videos to ensure that they meet the educational needs of medical professionals and students.

Ethics

Ethics Committee Approval: As this study did not include human participants or animal experiments, ethical approval was not required.

Informed Consent: As this study did not include human participants or animal experiments, informed consent was not required.

Footnotes

Authorship Contributions

Surgical and Medical Practices: M.S.B., H.Y., A.U., Concept: M.S.B., H.Y., A.U., Design: M.S.B., H.Y., A.U., Data Collection or Processing: M.S.B., Analysis or Interpretation: M.S.B., A.U., Literature Search: M.S.B., H.Y., Writing: M.S.B., H.Y.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

1. Akbulut S, Ozdemir F. Intraoperative rupture of the hydatid cyst: four case reports and literature review. *World J Hepatol.* 2019;11:318-29.
2. Sabzevari S, Badirzadeh A, Shahkaram R, Seyyedini M. Traumatic rupture of liver hydatid cysts into the peritoneal cavity of an 11-year-old boy: a case report from Iran. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2017;50:864-7.

3. Celentano V, Smart N, Cahill RA, Spinelli A, Giglio MC, McGrath J, et al. Development and validation of a recommended checklist for assessment of surgical videos quality: the LAParoscopic surgery video educational guidelines (LAP-VEGaS) video assessment tool. *Surg Endosc.* 2021;35:1362-9.
4. Silberg WM, Lundberg GD, Musacchio RA. Assessing, controlling, and assuring the quality of medical information on the Internet: Caveant lector et viewer--Let the reader and viewer beware. *JAMA.* 1997;277:1244-5.
5. Bernard A, Langille M, Hughes S, Rose C, Leddin D, Veldhuyzen van Zanten S. A systematic review of patient inflammatory bowel disease information resources on the World Wide Web. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2007;102:2070-7.
6. Schena CA, Marotta A, Ascanelli S, Azzolina D, Calabrese P, Iovino DP, et al. Robotic ventral rectopexy videos on YouTube: reliability of quality and educational value assessment among raters with different degrees of surgical experience. *Int J Colorectal Dis.* 2025;40:152.
7. Rapp AK, Healy MG, Charlton ME, Keith JN, Rosenbaum ME, Kapadia MR. YouTube is the most frequently used educational video source for surgical preparation. *J Surg Educ.* 2016;73:1072-6.
8. Zern NK, Yale LA, Whipple ME, Allen SM, Wood DE, Tatum RP, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on medical student education: implementation and outcome of a virtual general surgery curriculum. *Am J Surg.* 2022;224:612-6.
9. Tuma F, Kamel MK, Shebrain S, Ghanem M, Blebea J. Alternatives surgical training approaches during COVID-19 pandemic. *Ann Med Surg (Lond).* 2021;62:253-7.
10. Desai T, Shariff A, Dhingra V, Minhas D, Eure M, Kats M. Is content really king? An objective analysis of the public's response to medical videos on YouTube. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:82469.
11. Rodriguez HA, Young MT, Jackson HT, Oelschlager BK, Wright AS. Viewer discretion advised: is YouTube a friend or foe in surgical education? *Surg Endosc.* 2018;32:1724-8.
12. Madathil KC, Rivera-Rodriguez AJ, Greenstein JS, Gramopadhye AK. Healthcare information on YouTube: a systematic review. *Health Informatics J.* 2015;21:173-94.
13. Wu MJ, Knoll RM, Bouhadjer K, Remenschneider A, Kozin E. Educational quality of YouTube cholesteatoma surgery videos: areas for improvement. *OTO Open.* 2022;247:1120-6.
14. Unal F, Atakul N, Turan H, Yaman Ruhi I. Evaluation of YouTube laparoscopic hysterectomy videos as educational materials during the COVID-19 era using the LAParoscopic surgery video educational guidelines (LAP-VEGaS) and LAP-VEGaS video assessment tool. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2022;42:1325-30.
15. Shapiro J, Levin M, Sunba S, Steinberg E, Wu V, Lee JM. The usefulness of YouTube videos related to endoscopic sinus surgery for surgical residents. *J Neurol Surg B Skull Base.* 2024;86:185-90.
16. Tan M, Chan KS, Teng TZJ, Ahmed S, Shelat VG. Evaluation of the Educational quality of the top 30 most viewed laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy videos on YouTube. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2023;33:309-19.
17. Lee JS, Seo HS, Hong TH. YouTube as a potential training method for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Ann Surg Treat Res.* 2015;89:92-7.
18. Chapman D, Weaver A, Sheikh L, MacCormick AD, Poole G. Evaluation of online videos of laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy using the LAP-VEGaS Guidelines. *Obes Surg.* 2021;31:111-6.
19. Zhang S, Fukunaga T, Oka S, Orita H, Kaji S, Yube Y, et al. Concerns of quality, utility, and reliability of laparoscopic gastrectomy for gastric cancer in public video sharing platform. *Ann Transl Med.* 2020;8:196.
20. Balta C, Kuzucuoğlu M, Karacaoglu IC. Evaluation of YouTube videos in video-assisted thoracoscopic pulmonary lobectomy education. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A.* 2020;30:1223-30.